



CORPUS IURIDICUM AEGEENSE

Publisher:

AEGEE-Europe
Juridical Commission
Rue du Noyer 55 / Notelaarsstraat 55
1000 Brussels / Belgium

Editors:

Juridical Commission (juridical@aegee.org):
Giuseppe Aquilino

Cover Design and Visual Identity Support:

Public Relations Committee of
AEGEE-Europe

© AEGEE-Europe – February 2019. All rights reserved



LIST OF MOTIONS

Dear Network,

At Agora Chisinau the following motion was accepted: "A compilation of the currently active motions will be published by the JC along with the newest version of the CIA after each agora. This will be valid for all motions since 2011 that are in the online system and all motions from now on.". This motion was accepted and later on developed by the Juridical Commission. Since Agora Kraków, this list is made in the cooperation with the Chair Team of AEGEE-Europe. Enjoy reading!

LIST OF MOTIONS	2
AUTUMN AGORA ISTANBUL (2018)	3
SPRING AGORA KRAKÓW (2018)	6
AUTUMN AGORA CATANIA (2017)	8
SPRING AGORA ENSCHEDE (2017)	10
AUTUMN AGORA CHIȘINĂU (2016)	11
SPRING AGORA BERGAMO (2016)	11
AUTUMN AGORA KYÏV (2015)	13
SPRING AGORA ASTURIAS (2015)	13
AUTUMN AGORA CAGLIARI (2014)	14
SPRING AGORA PATRA (2014)	15
AUTUMN AGORA ZARAGOZA (2013)	16
SPRING AGORA RHEIN-NECKAR (2013)	16
AUTUMN AGORA BUDAPEST (2012)	17



AUTUMN AGORA ISTANBUL (2018)

Motion „Temporarily including Short summer course in the summer courses regulated by CIA”

Though there is a controversial part in CIA which does not allow the proposed name of Short Summer Course for the new type of Summer University. Therefore, we would like to ask to the Agora to let us use the name Short Summer Course for the period until next Agora where this controversy can be resolved with another proposal. It is important that the name remains as proposed as it represents better the purpose of Summer University and it fits the name that existent types have.

Proposed by Lorenzo Ligas and Laura Garcia Rueda on behalf of SUCT
Agora Istanbul voted in the OMS (185 votes cast)
Accepted: 149 in favour; 20 against; 16 abstention

Motion „Taskforce for new criteria on candidatures”

This motion calls upon the CD to appoint a Taskforce which will search for better criteria on candidatures (not only nationality), resulting in a proposal no later than Autumn Agora 2019. The CD will update the Network on the progress of the Taskforce at The Spring Agora 2019, given the Proposal is not ready by then.

Proposed by Veronika Chmelárová on behalf of the Data privacy committee and Jeroen Roseboom on behalf of AEGEE-Delft
Agora Istanbul voted in the OMS (184 votes cast)
Accepted: 140 in favour; 16 against; 28 abstention

Motion „Motion for a balance sheet”

The financial report of Comité Directeur 2017-2018 is incomplete because there is no balance sheet included. Without the balance sheet we cannot check the financial health of the organization. An immediate consequence is that we cannot judge how much the association's financial status is affected when there are large amounts of open debtors and this can complicate the way we vote on the financial statement, not having a complete financial statement cannot give us full insight in the financial status of AEGEE. There hasn't been a balance sheet for 3 years, so we feel that there needs to be a balance sheet presented again.

Motion:

Comité Directeur 56 should present a balance sheet of the financial situation at the end of financial year 2017/2018 during Spring Agora 2019. Therefore, we would also like to move the ratification of financial report 2017-2018 to Spring Agora 2019.

statement:

The Audit Commission should have checked that the Financial Director published and made a balance sheet. According to article 3.13 from the CIA should the Audit commission report any findings that are of relevance to the financial situation of AEGEE-Europe, in which they did not present the absence of a balance sheet. They said that they didn't receive one and did not proceed to check that the balance sheet was made. Even though it is not their task to push the Financial Director to create a balance sheet, they should feel responsible that a complete financial statement will be published.

Proposed by Hicham Zahri, Lukas Vugts and Jesse Lohman on behalf of AEGEE-Enschede

Agora Istanbul voted in the OMS (154 votes cast)

Accepted: 70 in favor; 31 against; 53 abstention

Accepted: 114 in favor; 30 against; 42 abstention

Motion „Clarifying the procedure of motions and other items submission”

Motivation:

In Agora Kraków, the Proposal 'Clarifying the procedure of submitting motions, resolutions, policy papers and other votings' was accepted by the Agora. The relevant text of art. 2(4) Working Format of the Agora, which the proposal amended, now reads: (...) 3Any Organ or Ordinary Member of AEGEE-Europe can submit draft motions and other items to be included on the proposed agenda, at the latest one month before the start of the Agora, upon which these items are published for collecting feedback, unless the regulations define another deadline for such items. 4The final motion must be submitted at least three weeks before the Agora. 5The proposed agenda shall be published at least two weeks before the start of the Agora, along with all final texts of motions and other items up for voting. 6Any body of AEGEE-Europe may request additional subjects to the agenda, up to one week before the start of the Agora. 7The Chairperson shall publish the documents with additional subjects one week before the start of the Agora, immediately after the deadline. 8The Agora may decide whether or not to include these additional subjects to the proposed agenda. (...)

When implementing this, the following problems were encountered:

- The text accepted does not indicate which bodies are responsible for collecting and publishing the motions and other other items.
- Neither the text, nor other parts of the CIA, do not indicate what constitute 'other items'. What exactly is meant by 'other items' also does not clearly follow from the prytanium minutes, or from the motivation of the Proposal.
- The implemented changes do not indicate, which platform should be used to publish the draft motions and other items for collect the feedback
- When the deadline to submit the final text of the motions is specified, the deadline to submit the final text of other items is not clear
- CIA states that the draft motions and other items should be published (for collecting the feedback), but it does not apply to final text of the respective documents. Even though, the final motions should be submitted two weeks before the Agora, there are no requirements to make them public, before the agenda of the Agora is published.

For Agora Istanbul, JC and the Chair team have decided to take action on this together, and collected the motions and other items for Agora Istanbul, in consultation with the Comité Directeur. Moreover, we decided on defining 'other items' as referring to policy papers, resolutions, VIM's, strategies, nominations for Honorary membership and from Agora Istanbul onwards, the Comité Directeur and the Chair team will be taking the lead on collecting motions and other items. This is because this division of tasks is more logical. Draft motions and other items shall be submitted to the OMS, and approved respectively by the Chair Team (motions) and the Comité Directeur (other items). After that, they will be published for collecting the feedback. Final text of the motions shall be sent to the Chair Team via email three weeks before the Agora.

Other items should be submitted to the the Comité Directeur in the same way. They will be published along with other items in the agenda booklets at least two weeks before the Agora.

Proposed by Lisette Kunst on behalf of JC and Monika Mojak on behalf of CD
Agora Istanbul voted in the OMS (164 votes cast)
Accepted: 138 in favor; 4 against; 22 abstention

Motion „Votes of confidence being voted upon anonymously“

Motivation: During Spring Agora Krakow a vote of confidence was placed in the Summer University Coordination Team, and since the CIA does not prescribe what the voting procedure is, we used online voting for this. But since a vote of confidence is such a severe vote and the significant impact the vote can have, the possibility of people influencing this vote is more prominent than in other votes. Therefore online voting, which is not anonymous since you can see the results per local, is not a right way to vote in a vote of confidence. That's why we're requesting that a vote of confidence is being done with paper ballots. Even though this can be more work for both the organisers and the Juridical Commission, it will ensure that the delegates are voting without thinking about the reactions they might receive from their votes.

Proposed by Hicham Zahri, Lukas Vugts and Jesse Lohman on behalf of AEGEE-Enschede
Agora Istanbul voted in the OMS (172 votes cast)
Accepted: 129 in favor; 12 against; 24 abstention

Motion „motion to clarify transparency in CD decisions“

Motivation

Based on the motion approved by the Autumn Agora Chisinau 2016, CD is obliged to make public certain applications, if it is requested, the latest one month after the decision is taken.

With this motion we would like to clarify the process of making the applications public in accordance with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

Motion:

From now on, CD will upload the document on the intranet and send the e-mail to Announce-L mailing list. In this way, the information will be shared only with AEGEE members registered in the intranet. CD will ensure that any data that can be considered strictly sensitive by the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of individuals are not disclosed.

The applications will be stored for 10 years

Monika Mojak, Spyros Papadatos, on behalf of CD
Agora Istanbul voted in the OMS (164 votes cast)
Accepted: 143 in favor; 0 against; 21 abstention

Motion „Directly reveal voting results at the Agora“

Motivation: It has become a habit to wait with publishing voting results at the Agora. When the votes have been counted, the Agora often has to wait before they get presented at the plenary. What are the benefits of waiting? We cannot think of any substantive reasons. The only thing we can think of that it has a ceremonial function; it gives the Agora something to look out for. At Agora

Krakow 2018, there were two examples where it proved it was very important to reveal the results sooner than scheduled. The results of Network Commission's candidates were brought forward with one day. Since all of them were there at the Agora, it gave them an extra day to have a meeting. Another example are the results of the presidential candidates that was brought forward as well. The person that was not elected was able to apply for the Financial Director position and even won it. Besides these examples, it is possible a proposal gets rejected, but it could have been a good idea and/or is necessary to close a hole in the rules. It can be "fixed" with a motion during the Agora. CIA articles 7.4 and 10.8 of the Working Format of the Agora briefly touch on the subject of results. Both articles do not state when and how the results need to be published. Since there are no guidelines, every new Juridical Commission (in cooperation with Chair Team) can have a different philosophy on this matter. For example, at Agora Krakow 2018 results of online votings (proposals, motions, etc.) were published right away. This is why we advocate: Directly after counting the votes and judging that the voting result is valid, the Juridical Commission publishes the result to the members of the Agora. By revealing the results immediately it grants the Agora the chance to take an acute next step, if needed, without having to request for an exception to get results sooner. The Juridical Commission is allowed to decide how they will publish them (online, on paper, etc.). We did not specify that they have to publish the results online. We are not sure if the Juridical Commission is allowed to publish them online or has the technical means. Lastly, if it is possible, we would like this decision to be applicable immediately upon finishing the counting of the votes on this motion as an application of Article 17 of the Working Format of the Agora.

Proposed by Hicham Zahri, Lukas Vugts and Jesse Lohman on behalf of AEGEE-Enschede

Agora Istanbul vote in the OMS (167 votes cast)

Accepted: 121 in favor; 20 against; 26 abstention

Link to description: https://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/7067

SPRING AGORA KRAKÓW (2018)

Motion "Motion to develop a new and safer strategy to share and acquire the internal budgets of Locals"

This motion calls upon the Audit Commission to strictly forbid the use of facebook for private information, such as internal budgets, and develop a new strategy or look for a platform to safely share these budgets before the next autumn Agora and present it in their activity report.

Reason

In the light of recent developments concerning leakage of private information by facebook and considering that the Audit Commission is sometimes sharing and acquiring internal budgets of AEGEE-locals over facebook, this motions focusses on finding a new way to share private information in a safer way.

Proposed by: Tommy Hertog (AEGEE-Delft) Agora Kraków voted in the OMS (212 votes cast)

Accepted: 158 in favour, 25 against and 29 abstentions

Motion “Motion to present Strategic Plan fulfilment before the European Planning Meeting”

During the prytanium about the proposal regarding the measurement of the Strategic Plan fulfilment, the idea was raised to have the fulfilment also shared (written) before and at (presented) the European Planning Meeting. Doing so will give the participants of the European Planning Meeting an overview of the state of fulfilment of the Strategic Plan. They can use this knowledge to draft new goals, for example by drafting objectives focused on areas we did not achieve as much in yet, or not repeating objectives on topics that already a lot has been achieved in. The interim Action Agenda fulfilment has been presented at some European Planning Meetings before, but not all, and it is also not obligatory. Ideally, it would be presented at every European Planning Meeting though, and it would be on the whole Strategic Plan – which is not only the Action Agenda, but also the organisational goals. This way, potentially organisational goals that have a relation to our Focus Areas could also be included in the new objectives. This motion therefore calls upon the Comité Directeur to share the interim Strategic Plan fulfilment before the European Planning Meeting, in written form (at least two weeks before) and presented during the event. After the European Planning Meeting, the fulfilment will then have to be updated and presented for approval at the next Spring Agora.

Proposed by Svenja van der Tol (Youth Development Working Group) Agora Kraków voted in the OMS (210 votes cast)
Accepted: 175 in favour, 7 against and 28 abstentions

Motion “Motion: Secretariat Strategy Update”

Following the outcomes of the Progress Meeting on the Secretariat Strategy yesterday, and the discussions in the last years since the adoption of the Secretariat Strategy, and due to its lack of realisation and its importance for the future of AEGEE, we call upon Comité Directeur to present at each Agora an update on the Secretariat Strategy.

Proposed by: María Ballesteros Melero (Comité Directeur) Agora Kraków voted in the OMS (162 votes cast) Accepted: 148 in favour, 4 against and 10 abstentions

Motion “Specifying the Motion Collection Process”

During Autumn Agora Chisinau 2016, the following motion, proposed by Philipp Blum, was accepted by the Agora:

‘A compilation of the currently active motions will be published by the JC along with the newest version of the CIA after each agora. This will be valid for all motions since 2011 that are in the online system and all motions from now on.’

Until now, the interpretation of the Juridical Commission of this motion, was to collect only the motions, that were voted upon via the Online Voting System (OMS). Since this might not be satisfying, and also the motions voted upon through different means are equally important to the already collected motions, we would like to specify the collection process and the division of responsibilities.

The Juridical Commission shall continue collecting the motions, that are of validity, from the OMS. The Secretary of the Agora, will collect the rest of the

motions, that are not in the OMS, from the minutes and submit a list of them to the Juridical Commission, at the latest 7 weeks after the Agora. All the motions will be published in the List of Motions, along with the newest version of the Corpus Iuridicum Aegeense. The List of the Motions should be updated with every new version of the CIA. The motions, that are no longer valid, should be deleted from it

Proposed by: Monika Mojak and Veronika Chmelárová (Juridical Commission)
Agora Kraków voted in the OMS (180 votes cast)
Accepted: 132 votes in favour, 14 against and 34 abstentions

Policy paper of Youth Development Working Group

https://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/6830

Proposed by: Svenja van der Tol (Youth Development Working Group) Agora Kraków voted in the OMS (198 votes cast)
Accepted: 190 in favor, 0 abstentions

AUTUMN AGORA CATANIA (2017)

Motion „Motion of oversharing: improving communication within AEGEE“

AEGEE-Enschede wants to submit a motion against sharing internal information with the general public. Recently a public post was published about some sensitive information about an internal issue within the Audit Commission. We really appreciate and approve the internal communication with its members. So, please don't misunderstand our motion. We are talking about the communication from official AEGEE bodies that is available for everybody, including students, partners and even journalists and everybody else who is not being a member of AEGEE.

Our motion consists of three parts, the first one is meant for all the bodies within AEGEE-Europe, the second and third parts are mainly focused on the Mediation and Audit Commission.

Firstly, our motion is a call upon all the bodies of AEGEE to refrain from sharing too much internal information on public social media. We would like to emphasize that sharing sensitive and only internally relevant information might be harmful to the image of AEGEE-Europe to professional relations.

Secondly, we would like to ask the MedCom to supervise other bodies concerning these kind of social media posts. Supervising can be a hard task, but we believe it is necessary for the professionalism of our organisation. The CD can guide the MedCom in this very important task of supervising the social posts of our bodies. Therefore, we ask our CD to outline comprehensive communication guidelines to protect our internal and external communication. We expect to see at least a draft of these guidelines at Spring Agora Kraków.

Lastly, we want to make a motion of disapproval against the Mediation and Audit Commission concerning the case with a recent Facebook post of the Audit Commission. In our opinion, the post could not be shared in public, this because it harms the image of AEGEE and could be harmful to the (professional) life of the person who is referred to in the post.

Proposed by: Timo Haarman, Steyn ten Kate, Rik Smale (AEGEE-Enschede)

Agora Catania voted in the OMS (174 votes cast)
Accepted : 84 in favour, 58 against, 32 abstention

Motion „Transparency in CD decisions: CD appointed positions“

Dear Agora,

Following up the motion in Agora Chisinau about hosting locals for Agorae and interim positions, I

would like to submit a motion for better transparency and accountability for the CD appointed positions: The names of the applicants for CD appointed positions as well as their full applications (besides personal data: Date of Birth, Email, Phone number) will be available on request as soon as possible and at the latest 7 days after the decision has been made public.

Proposed by: Jorge Sánchez Hernández (Europe on Track) Agora Catania voted in the OMS (164 votes cast) Accepted: 99 in favour, 34 against, 31 abstention

Motion „Partnership update at Agorae „

Dear Agora,

It is well known in our association that we could improve our relations with all partners for the good of our financial status among other things.

AEGEE-Europe makes partnerships with enterprises and organisations, but we are not always well aware of what kind of partnerships are currently working or how can AEGEEans benefit from the partnerships.

Because of this lack of information in the Network about the possibilities with the organizations that we have partnerships with, we do not make enough use of these deals, so we are at stake to not renewing these partnerships because of poor results from our side like few clicks on a website or no purchases with a specific code for AEGEE.

Because of all of this reasons and for the sake of transparency:

We call upon the Comité Directeur to present at every Agora an update of the partnerships and to make sure that all the Sponsors and Partners with a written contract with AEGEE will be presented by a member of the Comité Directeur or someone appointed by them.

Proposed by: Jorge Sánchez Hernández (Europe on Track) Agora Catania voted in the OMS (171 votes cast) Accepted: 121 in favour, 17 against, 27 abstention.

Motion „Motion concerning sexual harassment“

We ask the Comité Directeur to implement an internal contact point where members can file complaints of every kind, especially related to sexual harassment, alcohol and drugs abuse and other illegal behaviour during any European Event as well as Summer Universities. Furthermore, we would like the Comité Directeur to think about ways to implement a structure to sensitize people and help preventing this behaviour, as well as a more consequent punishment structure.

Proposed by: Maria Ballesteros Mellero and Equal Rights Working Group Agora Catania voted in the OMS (136 votes cast)
Accepted : 132 in favour, 4 abstention.

SPRING AGORA ENSCHEDE (2017)

Motion „A fair fee system for the Statutory Events“

The fee for the Statutory events will be decreased for Visa needing participants in order to allow higher participation of these. Also the different fees proposed by the different student organisations (e.g. BEST) will be taken into consideration as well as the possible effects in terms of fundraising. This will be valid since the Spring Agora Krakow (including) 2018.

Proposed by: Luca Bisighini (Audit Commission), Kristina Reshetova (Network Commission), Dermansah Yalin (AEGEE-Istanbul)
Agora Enschede voted in the OMS (212 votes cast) Accepted: 112 in favour, 65 against, 35 abstention.

Motion „Motion on online decision making“

The motion calls upon the CD, with support from ITC and any other relevant body, to actively develop and propose one or more methods to involve the network in decision making between Agorae by the means of an online voting system until the autumn Agora Catania 2017, and implement the chosen methods together with the Chair Team at the latest until the spring Agora Krakow 2018, if possible partly earlier.

Proposed by: Malte Behrenberg (AEGEE-Aachen) Agora Enschede voted in the OMS (204 votes cast) Accepted : 146 in favour, 31 against, 27 abstention.

Motion „Launch of the AEGEE Review Taskforce“

The motion calls upon the Comité Directeur to launch the “AEGEE Review” task force / internal project that will put AEGEE’s internal organisational structure and the organisational parts of the Strategic Plan under thorough review, with special focus on financial and human resources management. The motion calls upon the Comité Directeur to assemble a task force of members with relevant experience, knowledge and involvement on various levels of the organisation, as well as to involve experts and external evaluators in the process. The Comité Directeur will be tasked to conduct the internal review for one year with a specified timeline and the outcomes and recommendations of the review should be presented and adopted during Spring Agora Krakow 2018.

Proposed by: Maarten de Groot on behalf of Comité Directeur Agora Enschede Voted in OMS (203 votes cast)
Accepted: 141 in favour, 11 against, 41 abstention.

AUTUMN AGORA CHIȘINĂU (2016)

Motion: Transparency in CD Decisions

The names of the applicants for interim positions as well as the full applications for hosting locals of statutory events, will be available on request at the latest one month after the decision for the position has been made public.

Proposed by the Agora.

Agora Chisinau, voted on in the OMS (234 votes cast) Accepted: 206 in favour; 3 against; 25 abstention.

No longer description available.

Motion asking the CD to support free Interrail tickets

Giving young people the opportunity to travel would not only be beneficial for their self-development but also foster a more open minded society in the long run. Therefore, the Agora requests the Comité Directeur to push forward the discussion about how the proposal of giving free interrail tickets to every European Citizen turning eighteen could be feasible. Since the proposal is already being discussed in the European Commission and Parliament, this should be a top priority and happen in a timely manner.

Proposed by Leonie Riebesam, Tim Mollenhauer, Nils Barkawitz from AEGEE-Aachen.

Agora Chisinau, voted on in the OMS (238 votes cast) Accepted: 212 in favour; 9 against; 17 abstention. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1_OOQWNGL4_vGUKT6npr1xYS0wXp1aV0uRygQJO10xRI

Motion to make the Summer University Coordination Team's ranking public

Proposed by Spyridon Papadatos, Network Commission. Agora Chisinau, voted on in the OMS (213 votes cast) Accepted: 108 in favour; 93 against; 12 abstention. No description available.

SPRING AGORA BERGAMO (2016)

Policy paper on Civic Education

Proposed by: Jorge Miguel Vielva (Policy Officer on Civic Education) Agora Bergamo, voted on in the OMS (248 votes cast)

Accepted: 231 in favour; 3 against; 14 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5914 (Proposal booklet Agora Bergamo)

Policy paper on Migration

Proposed by: Luca Bisighini (Policy Officer on Migration) Agora Bergamo, voted on in the OMS (250 votes cast) Accepted: 223 in favour; 2 against; 25 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5914 (Proposal booklet Agora Bergamo)

Position Paper on the deal between the United Kingdom and the European Union and to the Referendum on EU membership

Proposed by: AEGEE-Aberdeen; AEGEE-London; AEGEE-Manchester Agora Bergamo, voted on in the OMS (248 votes cast) Accepted: 157 in favour; 38 against; 53 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5914 (Proposal booklet Agora Bergamo)

Strategy Paper of AEGEE on Internal Recognition of NFIL

Strategy paper on Non-Formal and Informal Learning in AEGEE

Proposed by: Ana Potočnik, AEGEE-Ljubljana, home based assistant internal education

Agora Bergamo, voted on in the OMS (234 votes cast) Accepted: 180 in favour; 4 against; 50 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5914 (Proposal booklet Agora Bergamo)

Requesting the JC to be more transparent regarding the candidates

The JC shall inform the network via Announce-L about the opening of any position as well as any changes in candidatures at any times, even during the Agora, as soon as possible.

Proposed by: Jorge Sánchez Hernández, AEGEE-Dresden and Philipp Blum, Summer University Coordination Team.

Agora Bergamo, voted on in the OMS (186 votes cast) Accepted: 159 in favour; 10 against; 17 abstention.

No further description available

Requesting submitted and rejected proposals to be publicly accessible

The Agora, considering that the Juridical Commission is currently the only body that has access to submitted and rejected proposals, requests the Juridical Commission to make both submitted and rejected proposals available to the members. In case of rejected proposals, the Agora wishes the Juridical Commission to provide explanation accessible to the members why that proposal is rejected. The Agora requests that the Juridical Commission strive that all submitted proposals could be brought to a vote, assisting the proposer to make their proposal coherent and legally sound.

Proposed by: Wietske Jousma, AEGEE-Enschede. Agora Bergamo, voted on in the OMS (194 votes cast) Accepted: 137 in favour; 35 against; 22 abstention. Longer description available on <http://www.aegEE.org/vote>

AUTUMN AGORA KYÏV (2015)

No motions on the OMS.

SPRING AGORA ASTURIAS (2015)

Position paper on Youth Mobility

Proposed by: Alfredo Sellitti (Policy Officer on Youth Mobility) Motion withdrawn by the proposer.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegEE.org/group_file/view/3/5434
(Proposal booklet for Agora Asturias)

Position paper on transition from education to working life

Proposed by: Pablo Hernández (Policy Officer on Youth Employment) Agora Asturias, voted on in the OMS (231 votes cast)

Accepted: 204 in favour; 2 against; 25 abstention.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegEE.org/group_file/view/3/5434
(Proposal booklet for Agora Asturias)

Motion to put responsibilities on those in a position appointed by the Comité Directeur

This motion calls upon the Comité Directeur, and other bodies that appoint people to positions relevant for the Network, to make all volunteers sign a contract that at least specifies the tasks and requirements of the position; makes them report to the Network and Agora, if considered relevant and regulates the steps to be taken in case of resignation.

Proposed by: Evelien Kroon, Roel Smulders and Wietske on behalf of AEGEE-Enschede.

Agora Asturias, voted on in the OMS (247 votes cast) Accepted: 176 in favour; 26 against; 45 abstention. Longer description available on <http://www.aegee.org/vote>

Motion regarding the University Protests around Europe and the Role of AEGEE

This motion calls upon the Comité Directeur to communicate this motion to the boards of all antennae, with the request to share a message related with the University Protests around Europe and the role of AEGEE.

Proposed by: Marije Arentze on behalf of the Election Observation Project and Maarten de Groot on behalf of AEGEE-Amsterdam

Agora Asturias, voted on in the OMS (246 votes cast) Accepted: 152 in favour; 29 against; 65 abstention. Longer description available on <http://www.aegee.org/vote>

Strategy for learning and training of AEGEE-Europe

A strategy for learning in AEGEE, with a focus on the definition of trainings in AEGEE and the competences of the bodies involved. They identified five stages of membership based on the Human Resources-cycle and worked on three stages in this document (enter, learn and perform).

Proposed by Ivan Bielik on behalf of Comité Directeur Agora Asturias, voted on in the OMS (225 votes cast) Accepted: 186 in favour; 7 against; 32 abstention. Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5434 (Proposal booklet for Agora Asturias)

AUTUMN AGORA CAGLIARI (2014)

Position paper on sustainable universities

Proposed by: Iris Hordijk (Policy Officer on Sustainability) Agora Cagliari, voted on in the OMS (235 votes cast) Accepted: 197 in favour; 10 against; 28 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5094 (Proposal booklet for Agora Cagliari)

Position paper on Youth Participation in Democratic Processes

Proposed by: Pablo Hernández (Policy Officer on Youth Participation) Agora Cagliari, voted on in the OMS (232 votes cast) Accepted: 188 in favour; 16 against; 28 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5094 (Proposal booklet for Agora Cagliari)

Position paper on Education

Proposed by: Ivan Bielik (Comité Directeur), Lucille Rieux (Former Comité Directeur), Madalena Sousa (Policy and Advocacy Coordinator), Sofia Lobakina (Policy Officer on Education)

Agora Cagliari, voted on in the OMS (225 votes cast) Accepted: 201 favour; 7 against; 17 abstention.

Link to description: http://intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/5094 (Proposal booklet for Agora Cagliari)

Motion on the validity of Position Papers

Motion to limit the validity of position papers to five years unless the Comité Directeur decides to extend, after review, the validity period with five years at a time.

Proposed by: AEGEE-Maastricht

Agora Cagliari, voted on in the OMS (238 votes cast) Accepted: 105 in favour; 102 against; 31 abstention.

Link to description: <http://www.intranet.aegee.org/chair/view/2#documents/5137/page:1>

SPRING AGORA PATRA (2014)

Motion to Approve the Agreement between Juridical Commission and Election Observation Project of AEGEE-Europe on internal election observation

Proposed by the Juridical Commission and the Election Observation Project of AEGEE-Europe.

Agora Patra voted by acclamation. Accepted.

Longer description available on <http://www.aegee.org/vote>

Motion to adopt the Position Paper on Single Seat European Parliament

European elections are taking place in May 2014 and with a fresh Parliament on the horizon, we see this as the perfect time to put a stop to the European Parliament's travelling circus. The European Parliament (EP) works mainly from Brussels, but for fewer than 50 days a year the Parliament moves to its official seat in Strasbourg to vote. This dual seat, in

combination with keeping half its administrative staff in Luxembourg, costs an extra €180 million and 19,000 tonnes of CO2 each year. In current times of economic hardship and global warming, these budgetary and environmental costs are no longer justifiable.

Proposed by AEGEE-Enschede

Agora Patra voted on in the OMS (227 votes cast) Accepted: 187 in favour; 6 against; 34 abstention.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/4856

Motion to adopt Position Paper on the EU Eastern Partnership Programme

This Position Paper contains many recommendations on the Eastern Partnership Programme.

Proposed by: Anna Gots, Comité Directeur.

Agora Patra voted on in the OMS (215 votes cast) Accepted: 176 in favour; 3 against; 36 abstention.

Longer description available on <http://www.aegee.org/vote>

Motion to ratify the Secretariat Internal Strategy

If the Agora adopts this motion, it gives green light to the CD to start implementing a secretariat, only if Financial means are available (grants) and depending on the needs of the board.

Proposed by: Lucille Rieux, Comité Directeur. Agora Patra voted on in the OMS (167 votes cast)

Accepted: 140 in favour; 11 against; 16 abstention. Longer description available on <http://www.aegee.org/vote>

AUTUMN AGORA ZARAGOZA (2013)

Position Paper on Youth Rights

Proposed by: Lucia Sobeková, Rapporteur on Social Inclusion Agora Zaragoza voted on in the OMS (288 votes cast) Accepted: 224 in favour; 27 against; 37 abstention.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/4616

SPRING AGORA RHEIN-NECKAR (2013)

Motion „Fees and free participants statutory events“

Request to the Comité Directeur to remove the clause that makes the local organisers of the Agora pay for the CD, guests of the CD, Chair Team,

Juridical Commission and Audit Commission from future contracts and pay the fees from the budget of AEGEE-Europe or from their subsistence costs.

Proposed by: Tom Simons, Thijs Veldhuizen, Wietske Jousma, Vincent Baas on behalf of AEGEE-Enschede

Accepted by acclamation.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/4610

Motion „Creating a policy for public and internal information“

requests the Comité Directeur to:

- work together with other European bodies on a guideline for which information and documents are confidential and thus should be kept internal, including but not limited to the way of publication of the minutes of the statutory events and the Corpus Iuridicum;
- instruct the bodies of AEGEE to implement these guidelines;
- see to it that all information with private characteristics of our organisation is kept internal;
- improve the way information is internally distributed to make it even more accessible for members

Proposed by: Vincent Baas, Tom Simons, Thijs Veldhuizen, Wietske Jousma, and Maurits Korse on behalf of AEGEE-Enschede.

Agora Rhein-Neckar, voted on in the OMS (200 votes cast) Accepted: 153 in favour; 32 against; 15 abstention.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/4610

AUTUMN AGORA BUDAPEST (2012)

Motion „Smoke banning“

This proposal aims to protect the members of AEGEE against the harmful effects of passive smoking. As AEGEE is an organisation of active citizens, we should not let our health depend on local laws, but set a clear example by banning smoking from all parts of the programme of our statutory events, including the locations for parties and other social programmes.

Proposed by Nico Huurman

Accepted: 141 in favour; 134 against; 21 abstention.

Link to description: http://www.intranet.aegee.org/group_file/view/3/4604